

## **REMARKS**

### **Specification**

The Examiner has objected to the specification as being deficient. The Examiner states that on page 4, paragraph 1, the specification references "the accompanying FIGURE," while the Applicant supplies two figures. In light of the amendment to the Specification above, the Applicant believes that the Examiner's objection is moot. The amended text above adds no new matter to the specification.

### **Drawings**

The Examiner has objected to the drawings as being described inaccurately in the specification. The Applicant believes that, in light of the amended text above, the specification now describes the figures accurately.

### **Rejections Under 35 USC 112, second paragraph**

Claims 1, 2, 4, 6-13 are pending in the present application.

Claim 1 remains rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete as written and indefinite as written. Without acquiescing to the arguments raised by the Examiner but, rather, to further the business interests of the Applicant, the Applicant has canceled the pending Claim 1. The Applicant believes that, in light of the cancellation of Claim 1, the Examiner's rejection is now moot.

Claims 2, 4, 6-8 and 12-13 are rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, for depending on Claim 1 and not overcoming the lack of clarity in such base claims. Without acquiescing to the arguments raised by the Examiner but, rather, to further the business interests of the Applicant, the Applicant has canceled the pending Claim 1. The Applicant believes that, in light of the cancellation of Claim 1, the Examiner's rejection of Claims 2, 4, 6-8 and 12-13 is now moot.

Claim 4 has been rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being unclear. Without acquiescing to the arguments raised by the Examiner but, rather, to further the business interests of the Applicant, the Applicant has canceled the pending Claim 4. The Applicant believes that, in light of the cancellation of Claim 4, the Examiner's rejection is now moot.

Claim 8 has been rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being unclear as to how it relates to Claim 1, for being unclear and for limitations lacking proper antecedent basis. Without acquiescing to the arguments raised by the Examiner but, rather, to further the business interests of the Applicant, the Applicant has canceled the pending Claim 8. The Applicant believes that, in light of the cancellation of Claim 8, the Examiner's rejection is now moot.


Rejections Under 35 USC 112, first paragraph

Claims 1-7, 12 and 13 have been or remained rejected under 35 US 112, first paragraph, for failing to comply with the written description requirement. The Applicant points out that Claims 3 and 5 have already been cancelled in the response dated April 8, 2005. Without acquiescing to the arguments raised by the Examiner but, rather, to further the business interests of the Applicant, the Applicant has canceled the pending Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 12 and 13. The Applicant believes that, in light of the cancellation of Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 12 and 13, the Examiner's rejection is now moot.

Summary

In light of the above amendments, consideration of the subject patent application is respectfully requested. Any deficiency or overpayment should be charged or credited to Deposit Account No. 500282.

Respectfully submitted,



Ryan B. Kennedy  
Attorney for Applicants  
Registration No. 48,590  
(603) 433-6300

Portsmouth, NH  
Date: December 16, 2005

P0087587.DOC